JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY



SECOND QUARTER 2006

Corrections Standards Authority
Facilities Standards and Operations Division
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento CA 95814
(916) 445-5073
www.csa.ca.gov

JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following link titles contain the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the Second Quarter of Calendar Year 2006. <u>Capacity, Population and ADP Breakout</u> contains totals for major categories such as Highest One-Day Population, Average Daily Population (ADP), gender, and the age range of detained minors. <u>Breakout of Juveniles in Detention</u> contains information regarding counties' ADP and Rated Capacity (RC) breakouts and <u>Summary of Survey Results</u> contains information about a wide range of juvenile detention facility issues including crowding, mental health needs, average length of stay, and number of bookings.

<u>Juvenile Hall Data – Part 1 & 2, and Camp Data</u> contain trend information compiled from 1999 through 2006. The trend data is separated into Juvenile Hall related data and Camp related data.

Please keep the following in mind when reviewing this information:

- For Overall Capacity, Crowding (highest one-day population-the count of minors in detention on the day of each county's highest population) and ADP (the average daily detention population for the reporting period), we have complete data from all jurisdictions in the state that operate juvenile detention facilities.
- Each jurisdiction provides us with the average population, computed across all the days in the month, for each of the three months in the quarter. The weighted average across the three months is then computed for each jurisdiction (with the monthly averages weighted by the number of days in the month). The jurisdictions ADPs are then combined to produce the state's total ADP.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive complete data from all jurisdictions. In such instances, we used statistical procedures to estimate the statewide total.
- Felony/misdemeanor, gender counts, and age-range breakouts are based upon a one-day snapshot (on the 15th day of the final month of the quarter). These values are used to determine the percentage of the population in each felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age category. The percentages are then applied to the Total ADP to project the expected ADP in each of the felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age-range categories.
- The survey is published quarterly and runs on the calendar year.

California Corrections Standards Authority Juvenile Detention Profile Survey - 2nd Quarter, 2006 Overall Capacity, Population and ADP

Overall Capacity and Population								
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	PS	OTHER		TOTAL	
ADP	7,184	.2	4,182	2.1	2,893.2		14,259.5	
Percent of Total	50.4%	%	29.3	%	20.3	%	100.0	%
RC *	8,122	.7	5,558	8.5			13,681	.2
High One Day	8,011	.0	4,262	2.0			12,273	3.0
		Gei	nder by De	etention	Туре			
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	PS	OTHE	R	TOTA	L
GENDER	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%
Male	6,025.9	83.9%	3,760.6	89.9%	2,206.1	76.3%	11,992.6	84.1%
Female	1,158.3	16.1%	421.5	10.1%	687.1	23.7%	2,266.9	15.9%
TOTAL	7,184.2	100.0%	4,182.1	100.0%	2,893.2	100.0%	14,259.5	100.0%
Disposition			n by Gend	ler for Ju	ıvenile Hall	s		
		MALE			FEMALE		TOTAL	
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%
Pre-Disposition	3,55	9.1	59.1%	719.7		62.1%	4,278.7	59.6%
Post-Disposition	2,46	6.8	40.9%	438.6		37.9%	2,905.4	40.4%
TOTAL	6,02	5.9	100.0%	1,	1,158.3		7,184.2	100.0%
	Dis	position	by Gende	er for Oth	ner Detenti	on		
		MALE			FEMALE		TOTA	L
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%
Pre-Disposition	1,36	4.2	61.8%	4	79.0	69.7%	1,843.2	63.7%
Post-Disposition	841	.9	38.2%	208.1		30.3%	1,050.0	36.3%
TOTAL	2,20	6.1	100.0%	6	87.1	100.0%	2,893.2	100.0%
	Age Ran	ge by Ty	pe of Dete	ention (C	ne-Day Sn	apshot)		
	JUV	ENILE HA	LL		CAMPS		TOTA	L
AGE RANGES	Num	ber	%	% Nur		%	Number	%
Under 12	17.	.0	0.2%		2.0	0.0%	19.0	0.2%
12 to 14	1,05	0.0	14.1%	2	81.0	6.6%	1,331.0	11.4%
15 to 17	5,74	8.0	77.3%	3,3	353.0	78.4%	9,101.0	77.7%
18 _Over	624		8.4%		43.0	15.0%	1,267.0	10.8%
TOTAL	7,43	9.0	100.0%	4,2	279.0	100.0%	11,718.0	100.0%

^{*} RC is Rated Capacity which is the number of beds that comply with standards set forth in Title 24, California Code of Regulations.

County Breakout Report - 2nd Quarter, 2006 Facilities and Alternative Detention


	County	Rated Capacity	Facilities Detention	Other Detention	ADP	Percent of Total	Cumulative Percent
1	Los Angeles	4,144	3,489.2	920.62	4,409.8	31.16%	31.16%
2	San Diego	984	910.1	256.13	1,166.2	8.24%	39.40%
3	Orange	833	823.0	16.52	839.5	5.93%	45.33%
4	Sacramento	496	540.7	98.31	639.0	4.52%	49.85%
5	Santa Clara	568	334.1	208.58	542.6	3.83%	53.68%
6	San Bernardino	669	533.7	0.00	533.7	3.77%	57.45%
7	Contra Costa	290	310.8	204.00	514.8	3.64%	61.09%
8	Alameda	404	337.3	139.69	477.0	3.37%	64.46%
9	Fresno	495	472.5	0.00	472.5	3.34%	67.80%
10	Riverside	602	439.9	22.69	462.6	3.27%	71.07%
11	Kern	393	394.5	45.51	440.0	3.11%	74.18%
12	Tulare	330	205.1	117.09	322.2	2.28%	76.45%
13	San Joaquin	224	181.2	114.37	295.5	2.09%	78.54%
14	San Mateo	227	225.3	68.33	293.6	2.07%	80.62%
15	Ventura	210	195.3	70.37	265.7	1.88%	82.49%
16	Santa Barbara	252	185.7	67.92	253.6	1.79%	84.28%
17	Stanislaus	158	145.2	60.70	205.9	1.46%	85.74%
18	Sonoma	148	135.9	63.36	199.3	1.41%	87.15%
19	Solano	148	117.6	52.25	169.9	1.20%	88.35%
20	Monterey	193	144.4	11.98	156.4	1.11%	89.45%
21	Merced	120	93.4	53.44	146.8	1.04%	90.49%
22	Madera	134	84.9	46.18	131.0	0.93%	91.42%
23	San Francisco	188	131.0	0.00	131.0	0.93%	92.34%
24	Shasta	101	95.0	0.00	95.0	0.67%	93.01%
25	Kings	123	92.9	1.65	94.6	0.67%	93.68%
26	Placer	55	48.0	19.70	67.7	0.48%	94.16%
27 28	San Luis Obispo	45 90	41.4	23.33	64.8 59.7	0.46%	94.62%
29	Yolo Butte	73	34.3 59.3	25.34 0.00	59.7	0.42% 0.42%	95.04% 95.46%
30	Humboldt	73 44	42.0	16.17	58.1	0.42%	95.46% 95.87%
31	Imperial	72	48.6	6.92	55.5	0.39%	96.26%
32	El Dorado	80	42.3	12.98	55.3	0.39%	96.65%
33	Colusa	162	52.5	0.00	52.5	0.37%	97.02%
34	Yuba	120	49.3	0.00	49.3	0.35%	97.37%
35	Napa	40	37.0	8.16	45.2	0.32%	97.69%
36	Lake	40	40.0	0.00	40.0	0.28%	97.97%
37	Del Norte	62	37.5	0.00	37.5	0.27%	98.24%
38	Santa Cruz	42	20.9	13.45	34.3	0.24%	98.48%
39	Mendocino	43	31.3	0.00	31.3	0.22%	98.70%
40	Marin	40	25.9	3.99	29.9	0.21%	98.91%
41	Tehama	40	27.3	0.00	27.3	0.19%	99.11%
42	Glenn	22	23.3	1.33	24.6	0.17%	99.28%
43	Siskiyou	20	13.7	6.01	19.7	0.14%	99.42%
44	Nevada	30	19.4	0.00	19.4	0.14%	99.56%
45	Trinity	28	15.7	0.00	15.7	0.11%	99.67%
46	Lassen	49	14.0	0.66	14.6	0.10%	99.77%
47	San Benito	20	13.0	1.60	14.6	0.10%	99.87%
48	Inyo	14	10.4	0.00	10.4	0.07%	99.95%
49	Tuolumne	0	0.0	2.91	2.9	0.02%	99.97%
50	Amador	0	0.0	2.51	2.5	0.02%	99.99%
51	Mono	4	0.0	1.34	1.3	0.01%	100.00%
52	Mariposa	4	0.4	0.00	0.4	0.00%	100.00%
53	Plumas	8	0.1	0.11	0.3	0.00%	100.00%
54	Sierra	0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00%	100.00%
		13,681	11,366.3	2,893.2	14,259.5	100.00%	

^{*}ADP on County Breakout Report may not equal ADP on other Summary Reports due to rounding

Juvenile Detention Profile Survey										
2nd Ouerter			One Day	Snapsho	t		Averag	e Daily Po	pulation	
2nd Quarter		Misdemeanor F		Fe	lony	Pre-Dis	-Disposition Post-Di		position	
Report 2006	Rated Capacity	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juvenile Halls	8,122.7	13.2%	4.1%	28.7%	4.1%	3,559.1	719.7	2,466.8	438.6	7,184.2
Camps / Ranches	5,558.5	8.5%	1.4%	18.1%	1.7%			3,760.6	421.5	4,182.1
Other Juveniles	in the System	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juveniles on home supervision v	with electronic monitoring	3.5%	1.1%	6.3%	1.5%	962.7	365.6	382.9	100.5	1,811.6
Juveniles on home supervision	without electronic monitoring	2.3%	0.7%	2.4%	0.5%	397.5	112.7	263.1	68.1	841.3
Juveniles alternative confinemer	nt programs	0.9%	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%	4.1	0.7	195.9	39.5	240.2
Grand 1	Totals	28.4%	7.5%	56.2%	7.9%	4,923.3	1,198.6	7,069.3	1,068.2	14,259.5
		Ment	tal Health	Snapshot	l					
Number of open mental health	h cases this day									3,071.0
Number of juveniles receiving	psychotropic medication									1,157.7
			Crowdi							
Average daily population of ju			LACK OF	SPACE						7.4
Number of juveniles released	early DUE TO LACK OF SP	ACE								192.8
Average number of days that	one or more facilities in a co									20.6
		Averag	e Booking	s Per Mo	nth				•	
Number of Juvenile Hall book									9,745.1	
Number of bookings for weap	on-related offenses								899.8	
Number of 601 bookings								42.8		
Number of 777 bookings								1,646.7		
Number of direct file (WIC 60)	, , , ,									72.2
D	Final Mo	onth of th	ne Quarter	(One-Da	y Snapsho	t)			1	
Detained for 707b Offense										1,066.5
Awaiting placement									632.0	
Awaiting transport to a camp									595.1	
Awaiting transfer to Youth Au									65.8	
Court commitments to juvenil	e hall (Ricardo M)								804.3	
Found unfit per 707.01 WIC)/b) == d 707/d) \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\									99.3
Direct files to Adult Court-602										266.7
Hospitalized outside detention	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									10.1
Hospitalized outside detention		H CARE								9.7
Believed to be criminal illegal	alleris	Δνοι	age Lengt	h of Stav	,					279.2
Juvenile Hall (all releases)		Avei	age Lengt	ii oi olay						37.6
Juvenile Hall to Camps										36.7
Juvenile Hall to other out-of-h	nome placements (i.e. group l	nomes or	foster hom	165)						39.9
Juvenile Hall who were found		1011103 01	103101 11011	103)						427.9
Juvenile Hall who were direct										194.5
Camps (all releases)	. IIIII 190 to addit oddit									96.3
		Cumulati	ve Total fo	or the Qua	arter				<u> </u>	30.0
	Detention Behavior				Juvenile H	alls	Camps / R	anches	Other I	Detention
Assualts by juveniles on staff					117.5		20.			1.0
Escapes					8.0		99.			21.3
Suicide Attempts					147.6		6.0			0.0
Suicides					0.0		0.0			0.0

Second Quarter Report, 2006 - Juvenile Hall Data

Rated Capacity RC and ADP for Juvenile Halls




Historically, second quarter ADP is the highest of the quarters each year and 2006 is no exception. The ADP for juvenile halls increased 3.2% this quarter to 7,184. Though not a record high (surpassed by Q2 - 2000 at 7,344 and Q2 - 2001 at 7,278), it is the third highest quarterly ADP since the inception of the Juvenile Detention Survey.

Summary of Juvenile Hall ADP						
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005		
6,984	6,578	6,449	6,455	6,826		

2006 Summary of Juvenile Hall ADP					
Q1	Q2		Average		
6,961	7,184		7,073		

Juvenile Hall Highest One-Day Population and RC




The highest one-day count, up 3.9% over the first quarter of 2006, is the highest one-day count recorded in the history of the JDS.

Summary of Juvenile Hall Highest One Day							
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005			
7,770	7,289	7,321	7,166	7,590			

2006 Summary of Highest One-Day Population					
Q1	Q2		Average		
7,710	8,011		7,861		

Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls




The percentage of pre-dispositional juveniles in halls reached an
all-time high at 60% during the second quarter of 2006.

Summary of Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls							
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005			
51%	54%	54%	59%	58%			

2006 Summary of Pre-Disposition Juvenile Halls						
Q1	Q2			Average		
59%	60%			60%		

Second Quarter Report, 2006 - Juvenile Hall Data

Gender Distribution in Juvenile Halls




percentage of male youths in custody. After several years o stability at 82%, male youths comprised 84% of the ADP in
juvenile halls during the second quarter.

The gender distribution in juvenile halls showed an increase in the

Summary of Males in Juvenile Halls							
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005			
83%	82%	82%	82%	82%			

2006 Summary of Males in Juvenile Hall			
Q1	Q2		Average
83%	84%		84%

Average Number of Juveniles Booked per Month




The number of juveniles booked per month remained high during
the second guarter of 2006.

Sur	mmary of Ju	ıveniles Bool	ked per Month	1
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
10,137	9,860	9,725	9,337	9,353

	2006 Summ	ary of Monthly Bookings	
Q1	Q2		Average
9,791	9,745		9,768

Distribution of Charge in Juvenile Halls




The percentage of juveniles charged with a felony crime remained
at 66% this quarter. The percentage of juveniles charged with a
felony historically range between 64 and 66 percent.

Summary of Felony Charges in Juvenile Halls				
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
64%	64%	65%	66%	64%

2006 Summary of Felony Charge Juvenile Hall			
Q1	Q2		Average
66%	66%		66%

Second Quarter Report, 2006 - Camp Data

RC and ADP for Camps




Similar to the juvenile hall ADP, the ADP for camps is frequently higher in the second quarter than in other quarters of the year. Camp ADP is up 2.6% from the first quarter; however, the rated capacity remains more than 300 beds over the ADP.

Summary of Camp ADP				
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
4,489	4,548	4,465	4,179	4,097

L	2006 Summary of Camp ADP			
Ī	Q1	Q2		Average
	4,073	4,182		4,128

Gender Distribution in Camps




Males make up 90% of the population in camps this quarter. The number of males in camps in 2006 continues to be higher than in the past two years.

	Summar	ry of Males in	Camps	
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
92%	90%	90%	89%	89%

	2006 Sumr	mary of Males in Camps	
Q1	Q2		Average
91%	90%		90%

Distribution of Charge in Camps



The number of juveniles in camps charged with a felony crime
remained relatively stable this quarter at 67%.

Summary of Felonies in Camps					
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	
66%	67%	67%	69%	68%	

		2006 Summary of Felonies in Camps					
	Q1	Q2		Average			
•	68%	67%		67%			

Instructions for Interpreting the Survey Report

<u>Capacity, Population and ADP Breakout</u> is designed to present the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the major reporting categories.

- Capacity: this category presents the Rated Capacity (RC) in terms of the number of beds in juvenile halls and camps/ranches that meet the Corrections Standards Authority (CSA) Standards.
- **High One-Day:** each jurisdiction reports for each month in the quarter, the juvenile hall and camp/ranch populations that, together, constituted the highest one-day count of the month.
- Other: this category refers to the sum of all juveniles who are receiving custody credit while on home supervision with or without electronic monitoring, or in alternative confinement programs.

<u>Breakout of Juveniles in Detention</u> is designed to present County-specific counts.

• County-specific counts: this page identifies the ADP for each county and the percent that county contributes to the total state juvenile detention population. The counties are ranked in descending order based on their percentage of the overall juvenile detention population in the state.

<u>Summary of Survey Results</u> is designed to present all the remaining Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results not already listed.

- **One-Day Snapshot**: the percentages in this section are percentages of the total ADP for juvenile halls, camps/ranches, and other juveniles in the system.
- Average number of days that one or more facilities in a county exceeded the Rated Capacity: this value is the result of taking all of the counties "number of days of crowding" and averaging the figures submitted by all the jurisdictions. If a jurisdiction had no crowding days, that jurisdiction was not included in the computation. In other words, the value presented indicates the typical number of crowding days per month experienced by jurisdictions that have had one or more days of crowding in the Second Quarter of 2006.
- Average Length of Stay: these numbers are averages for all juveniles in each category: 1) "juvenile hall (all releases)" is computed by first taking the mean length of stay for all juveniles released from juvenile halls in a jurisdiction. Next, all the jurisdictions' means are averaged to produce a statewide figure; 2) "juvenile hall to camps/ranches" is computed in the same fashion, but includes only those juveniles released from juvenile hall and placed in a camp or ranch; 3) "juvenile hall to other out-of-home placements" presents the average length of stay for that subset of juveniles.